

Advancements in Fractional Calculus: Mixed Integrals within Weighted Hölder Spaces

Muhammad Ilyas Khan and Sofia Rashidova

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, Pakistan; and Department of Mathematical Analysis, Tashkent State University of Economics, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Abstract: We consider operators of mixed fractional integration in weighted generalized Hölder spaces of a function of two variables defined by a mixed modulus of continuity.

Keywords: functions of two variables, mixed fractional integral, mixed difference, generalized Hölder spaces, weighted spaces, modulus, mixed modulus of continuity.

1. Introduction

One of the most important problems in the theory of integral operators in space is the problem of elucidating the dependence of the smoothness of the image on the smoothness of the preimage. The solution to such a problem plays an important role in the solvability of integral equations, their stability, and so on. The concept of smoothness can be formulated in a variety of terms. One of the ways of sufficiently fine-grabbing the smoothness of functions is the notion of generalized Hölderness, formulated in terms of the behavior of the modulus of continuity.

Thus, one of the important questions in the theory of operators is as follows: Let be A an operator acting in a Banach space

X and let be the modulus of continuity $\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h} |f(x) - f(x')|$ of K . How can the behavior of $\omega_f; h$

the modulus of continuity be characterized $\omega_f; h$ if the behavior of the modulus of continuity of a function $\omega_f; h$; $\omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha$ for all is known $\omega_f; h$, where is $\omega_f; h$ a given continuous function, $\alpha > 0$.

A similar problem can be considered completely solved for different spaces, and also for the Hölder space of functions of one variable and power weights, when

$\omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha$, $\alpha > 0$ ([2] - [6], [8] - [13]). A detailed review of these and some other close results can be found in [10]. The assertion for multidimensional cases on the property of mapping in the usual Hölder and in the Hölder spaces defined by mixed differences are known [7]. Also, $\omega_f; h$ generalized Hölder space is known for the Riesz fractional integral [13] (see also [12], Theorem 25.5). Mixed fractional Riemann-Liouville integrals of order α, β

$$I_{\alpha, \beta} f(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y \frac{f(t, \tau) dt d\tau}{(x-t)^\alpha (y-\tau)^\beta}, \quad (1.1)$$

$\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ $\omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha$ have not been studied.

This paper is devoted to the study of certain properties of the mixed fractional integral (1.1) in weighed generalized Hölder spaces of a function of two variables defined by a mixed modulus of continuity.

We consider the operator (1.1) in $Q_{\alpha, \beta}(x, y) = [0, x] \times [0, y]$.

2. Preliminary information and notations

When studying the properties of continuous functions of several variables, in particular, two variables, the following classes of functions arise:

$$H_{1,2}(\alpha, \beta) = \{f(x, y) \in C(Q) : \omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha, \omega_f; \tau \in C \cdot \tau^\beta, \dots\}$$

$$H_{0,1}(\alpha, \beta) = \{f(x, y) \in C(Q) : \omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha, \omega_f; \tau \in C \cdot \tau^\beta, \dots\}$$

H^1

$$C_Q(\alpha, \beta) = \{f(x, y) \in C(Q) : \omega_f; h \in C \cdot h^\alpha, \omega_f; \tau \in C \cdot \tau^\beta, \dots\}$$

where $\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y)|$

$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y)|$ are the partial modulus of $\omega_f; h$ continuity of the first order, and a

$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$ is a mixed modulus of $\omega_f; h$ continuity of order $(1,1)$;

$$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$$

$$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$$

$$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$$

$$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$$

$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')|$ (definition of classes H^1 and $H^{1,1}$ see below).

The following identity is valid

$$\omega_f; h = \sup_{|x-x'| \leq h, |y-y'| \leq h} |f(x, y) - f(x', y')| \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{matrix} \dots, \dots, x, y, \dots, x, y \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$$

Definition 2.1. Let function $\omega(x)$ is a bounded on $[a, b]$. The modulus of continuity of $\omega(x)$ is the expression

$$\omega(\delta) = \sup_{x_1, x_2 \in [a, b], |x_1 - x_2| = \delta} |\omega(x_1) - \omega(x_2)|$$

is defined for all δ that satisfy the condition $0 < \delta < b - a$.

Definition 2.2. A function $\omega(\delta)$ is called a modulus of continuity if it satisfies conditions

- 1) $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(\delta) = 0$;
- 2) $\omega(\delta)$ is almost increasing on $(0, b - a]$;
- 3) $\omega(2\delta) \leq C \omega(\delta)$;
- 4) $\omega(\delta)$ is function continuous in δ on $(0, b - a]$

Definition 2.3. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}$ the class of functions $\omega(\delta)$ defined on $(0, b - a]$, and satisfying conditions a) $\omega(\delta)$ is a modulus of continuity

b) $\int_0^t \omega(\delta) dt \leq C \omega(\delta)$;

c) $\int_0^{b-a} \omega(\delta) dt \leq C \omega(\delta)$;

d) $\dots \sim \dots$

$$1,1$$

It follows from the definition $\omega(\delta)$ that this function

belongs to $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}$ each of the variables. In addition, we note the inequality

$$1,1 \omega(\delta) \leq 2 \min \{ \omega(\delta), \omega(0), \omega(1), \omega(0), \omega(\delta) \} \quad (2.2)$$

$\mathcal{H}^{1,1}(Q)$ the class of functions of

Definition 2.4. We denote by $\mathcal{H}^{1,1}$ two variables

- 1) $\omega(\delta)$ in δ for any fixed δ ;
- 2) $\omega(\delta)$ in δ for any fixed δ .

We call this class the class of mixed modulus of continuity of the first order of continuous functions of two variables.

In [1] was shown that the properties 1) and 2) are characteristic for continuity modulus in the sense that for every $\delta > 0$ there exist such a function $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^{1,1}$, that

$$\int_0^1 \omega(\delta) dt \sim \int_0^1 \omega(\delta) dt, \quad \int_0^1 \omega(\delta) dt \sim \int_0^1 \omega(\delta) dt$$

Definition 2.5. Let us denote $\mathcal{H}^{1,1,2}$ the set of satisfying

$$\mathcal{H}^{1,1,2}$$

- 1) $\omega(\delta) \leq 2 \omega(\delta)$;
- 2) $\omega(\delta) \leq 2 \omega(\delta)$;

3) $\omega(\delta) \leq C \min \{ \omega(\delta), \omega(2\delta) \}$, where C - is not envy from $\omega(\delta)$.

Let $\mathcal{H}^{1,2}$ be the class of functions $\omega(\delta)$. We have introduced a norm in $\mathcal{H}^{1,2}$ space

$$\| \omega \|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,2}} = \max \{ C_{1,0}, C_{0,1}, C_{1,1} \}$$

where

$$C_{1,0} = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta}, \quad C_{0,1} = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta},$$

$$C_{1,1} = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{\omega(\delta)}{\delta}, \quad \| \omega \|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,2}} = \max \{ C_{1,0}, C_{0,1}, C_{1,1} \}$$

Definition 2.6. We say that $\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q)$, if $\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q)$ and $\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q)$.

We will also make use of the following weighted spaces. Let $\omega(\delta)$ be a non-negative function on Q (we will only deal with degenerate weights $\omega(\delta)$).

Definition 2.7. By $\mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q, \omega)$ we denote the space of

functions $\omega(\delta)$ such that $\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}$ with the norm

$$\| \omega \|_{\mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q, \omega)}$$

$\mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q, \omega)$ we denote the corresponding subspaces of $\mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q, \omega)$ functions $\omega(\delta)$ such that

$$\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}(Q, \omega)$$

Below we follow some technical estimations suggested in [11] for the case of one-dimensional Riemann - Liouville fractional integrals. We denote

$$B(x, y; t) = \int_0^t \omega(\delta) dt, \quad B(x, y; t) = \int_0^t \omega(\delta) dt$$

where $0 < t < b - a$. In the case $\omega(\delta) \in \mathcal{H}^{1,2}$ we have

$$B(x, y; t) \leq B_1(x) B_2(y), \quad B(x, y; t) \leq B_1(x) B_2(y) \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$B1 \cdot x, t \cdot \dots \overline{1 \cdot t \cdot x \cdot x \cdot \dots t \cdot 1 \cdot t \cdot} \cdot, \quad B2 \cdot y, \cdot \dots \overline{22 \cdot \dots y \cdot y \cdot \dots 2 \cdot 1 \cdot \dots} \cdot$$

Let also

$$D1 \cdot x, h, t \cdot B1 \cdot x \cdot h, t \cdot B1 \cdot x, t \cdot, t, x, x \cdot h \cdot [0, b], h \cdot 0;$$

$$D2 \cdot y, \cdot, t \cdot B2 \cdot y \cdot, \cdot B2 \cdot y, \cdot, \cdot, y, y \cdot [0, d], \cdot 0 \cdot \mathbf{Lemma 2.1.} \quad ([3])$$

Let $\cdot 1 \cdot x \cdot x \cdot, \cdot \mathbf{R}^1, 0 \cdot \dots 1$. Then

$$B1 \cdot x, t \cdot C \cdot x \cdot \max \cdot \cdot 1, 0 \cdot \cdot x \cdot t \cdot, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left| \int_0^x \frac{t}{x-t} dt \right| \leq \dots$$

$$D1 \cdot x, h, t \cdot C \cdot \overline{x \cdot t \cdot h \cdot \max \cdot \cdot 1, 0 \cdot} \cdot t \cdot x \cdot h \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \quad (2.5)$$

Similar estimates hold for $B2 \cdot y, \cdot$ and $D2 \cdot y, \cdot, \cdot$ with

$$\cdot 2 \cdot y \cdot y \cdot$$

Remark 2.1. All the weighted estimations of fractional integrals in the sequel are based on inequalities (2.4)-(2.5). Note that the right - hand sides of these inequalities have the exponent $\max \cdot \cdot 1, 0 \cdot$, which means that in the proof it suffices to consider only the case $\cdot 1$, evaluations of $\cdot 1$ being the same as for $\cdot 1$.

The following statements are known, begin first proved in (see also [12], p. 197). However, here we give a sketch of the proof of this lemma, in order to compose the representation of lightness for the two-dimensional case. Consider the onedimensional fractional Riemann-Liouville integral

$$\cdot I_0 \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot \overline{1 \cdot 0^x \cdot x \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot} \cdot dt, x \cdot 0, 0 \cdot \dots 1. \quad (2.6)$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $\cdot x \cdot$ be continuous on $[0, b]$ and let $\cdot 0 \cdot 0$. For the fractional integral (2.6), the estimate

$$\cdot \int_0^x \frac{b \cdot \cdot, t \cdot dt}{h} \leq C \cdot h \cdot t \cdot 2 \cdot \quad (2.7)$$

is valid.

Proof. Representing (2.6) as

$$\cdot I_0 \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot \dots \cdot 0 \cdot \cdot 0x \cdot x \cdot dt \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot 0x \cdot x \cdot t \cdot \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot 0 \cdot dt \cdot$$

$$\cdot A1 \cdot x \cdot A2 \cdot x \cdot$$

Let $h \cdot 0; x, x \cdot h \cdot [0, b]$. We have

$$A2 \cdot x \cdot h \cdot A2 \cdot x \cdot \cdot \overline{x \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot 0 \cdot} \cdot x \cdot h \cdot \cdot x \cdot \cdot$$

$$\cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \overline{h_0 \cdot \cdot x \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot \dots \cdot t \cdot dt} \cdot h \cdot t \cdot$$

$$\cdot 1 \cdot x \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot dt \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot 2 \cdot \cdot 3 \cdot$$

$$\cdot \cdot x \cdot t \cdot \cdot t \cdot \cdot h \cdot t \cdot$$

$$\cdot \cdot \cdot 0$$

We have: $\cdot 1 \cdot C \cdot \cdot x \cdot x \cdot h \cdot x \cdot$. In the case $x \cdot h$ we have $\cdot 1 \cdot Ch \cdot \cdot; h \cdot$. Let $\cdot x \cdot h$. Then

$$\cdot 1 \cdot C \cdot \cdot x \cdot x \cdot \dots 1 \cdot \cdot h x \cdot \dots 1 \cdot \dots C \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot; x \cdot h \cdot \quad (2.8)$$

Since

$$Cx \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot; x \cdot \cdot \cdot; x \cdot bx \cdot t \cdot 2 dx \cdot bx \cdot 2 \cdot \cdot; t \cdot dt \cdot b \cdot t \cdot 2 \cdot \cdot; t \cdot dt \cdot t \cdot h$$

It follows from (2.8) that

$$\left| \int_0^1 Ch^b \cdot \overline{t \cdot 2 \cdot \dots; t \cdot dt} \cdot \right|$$

Further,

$$\left| 2 \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{h \cdot \cdot; t \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot; h \cdot \cdot}{0 \cdot h \cdot t \cdot} \cdot dt \cdot Ch \cdot \cdot; h \cdot, dt \cdot h \right|$$

with $C \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot \cdot \cdot 1 \cdot d$. To estimate $\cdot 3$ we distinguish the case

- 1) $x \cdot h$ and 2) $x \cdot h$. In the first case

$$\left| \int_0^1 C \cdot h \cdot (f, t) \cdot t^{1 \cdot \cdot h \cdot t \cdot 1 \cdot} \cdot dt \cdot \right|$$

$$\cdot \int_0^1 \frac{1}{h \cdot t \cdot} \cdot dt \cdot$$

$$\cdot (f, t) \cdot t^{1 \cdot} \cdot dt \cdot h \cdot \cdot$$

$$\cdot C_2 \cdot \cdot b \cdot f, t \cdot dt \cdot \cdot h \cdot \cdot \frac{f, h \cdot h}{h \cdot 2 \cdot \cdot}$$

Obviously in the second case $\cdot 3 \cdot C_1 h \cdot f; h \cdot$.

Estimates for $\cdot 1, \cdot 2, \cdot 3$ the lead to (2.7) if we take into

account the fact that $h \cdot \cdot; h \cdot$ is dominated by the right-hand side of (2.7). The latter is easily obtained in view of the monotonicity of the function $\cdot \cdot; t \cdot$.

To obtain estimates of the Zygmund type in the weighted case, we use the notation and the proof scheme from [2] and [6].

Theorem 2.2. Let $\cdot(x) = x^s, 0 \cdot \cdot < 2 \cdot \cdot$. If the function $f(x), x \cdot [0, b]$ satisfies the condition:

- 1) $\cdot(x) f(x) \cdot C[0, b]$ and $\cdot(x) f(x) |_{x=0} = 0$;

$$b \cdot (f, t)$$

- 2) the integral $\cdot \int_0^b \frac{dt}{t} \cdot$ converges for $\cdot = \max(1, \cdot)$.

$$0 \cdot t$$

Then estimates of the Zygmund type

$$\int_0^x (f, t) dt + h \int_0^{x-h} (f, t) dt + \dots + (2.9) \int_0^x (f, t) dt = C \cdot h \dots 0 \cdot t \cdot h \cdot t \cdot \dots \int_0^x (f, t) dt + Ch \int_0^{x-h} (f, t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We denote this $g(x) = (x) f(x)$. We have

$$\int_0^x (f, t) dt = \int_0^x g(x) \cdot J_0 g(x), J_0 g(x) = \int_0^x B(x, t) g(t) dt.$$

Here the estimates for $J_0 g(x)$ are solved in Theorem 2.1.

Now consider the difference

$$J_0 g(x \cdot h) - J_0 g(x) = F_1(x, h) - F_2(x, h), \text{ where}$$

$$F_1(x, h) = \int_0^{x-h} B(x \cdot h, t) g(t) dt, \quad F_2(x, h) = \int_0^x B(x, h, t) g(t) dt.$$

Taking into account Remark 1.1, we consider only the case $1 < 2$. From (2.4) we have

$$|F_1| \leq C \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt.$$

If $x < h$, then

$$|F_1| \leq Ch \int_0^x (g; t) dt.$$

Using the property of almost decreasing $(g; t)$, we obtain

$$|F_1| \leq Ch \int_0^x (g; t) dt = Ch \int_0^x (g; t) dt.$$

If $x > h$, then

$$|F_1| \leq Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + C \int_0^h (g; t) dt.$$

$$Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + C \int_0^h (g; t) dt.$$

Further, it is clear that

$$|F_1| \leq Ch \int_0^x (g; t) dt.$$

Collecting the estimates F_1 , we obtain the inequality for $0 < 2$.

$$|F_1| \leq Ch \int_0^x (g; t) dt, \quad \cdot = \max(1, \cdot).$$

We pass to the estimate F_2 . Using the estimate (2.5), we obtain

When $h < x$,

$$|F_2| \leq Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + Ch \int_0^h (g; t) dt.$$

If $h < x$, then, we represent the right-hand side of (2.10) as a sum of three terms:

$$F_2 = Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt,$$

$$F_2 = Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt,$$

$$F_2 = Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt.$$

Then $|F_2| \leq F_2 + F_2 + F_2$.

For the term F_2 the relations are valid $x-h < 2(x-h)$, therefore $F_2 \leq Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt.$

For the summand, F_2 we have $2t < x \cdot h$, so $1 < 2$ we obtain the estimate

$$F_2 \leq Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt + \dots + (x-h)(g; t) \int_0^x (f, t) dt.$$

We estimate the term F_2 . Here $t < x \cdot h$, therefore

$$(g; t) \cdot (g; x \cdot h \cdot t) \cdot C, \text{ it follows that } t < x \cdot h \cdot t$$

Because $x \cdot h < 2t$. Having made the change $t = x \cdot h \cdot t$ and going back to the variable t , we get

$$F_2 \leq Ch \int_0^{x-h} (g; t) dt.$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d G_2(x, y, t) \cdot B_1(x, h, t) B_2(y, t) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d D_1(x, h, t) B_2(y, \cdot) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

For the mixed difference $G_2(x, y)$ with h, ϵ the appropriate representation leading to the separate evaluation in each variable with

losses in another variable is as follows:

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d D_1(x, h, t) D_2(y, \cdot) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d D_1(x, h, t) D_2(y, \cdot) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d D_1(x, h, t) D_2(y, \cdot) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

Since $x, y, t \geq 0$ then the inequality

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d D_1(x, h, t) D_2(y, \cdot) \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy \right| \leq \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

We make use of (2.2) and obtain

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^d |D_1(x, h, t)| |D_2(y, \cdot)| \cdot 0(t, \cdot) dt dx dy$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |D_1(x, h, t)| \cdot (-0; t, d) dt \cdot \dots \cdot (x \cdot h \cdot t)^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot t)^{-1} \cdot dt \cdot \dots$$

From the estimates (1), (2), (3) of Theorem 2.1 and from the estimates F1, F2 in Theorem 2.2, one can easily verify the validity of inequality

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot h \cdot t_2 \cdot dt \cdot \dots \right| \leq C_1 \cdot h \cdot \dots \cdot (-t; t, d) dt$$

где $\alpha = \max(1, \dots)$. The estimate

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot x, y \cdot C_2 \cdot \dots \right| \leq C_2 \cdot \dots$$

is symmetrically obtained, where $\alpha = \max(1, \dots)$.

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot B_1(x \cdot h, t) B_2(y \cdot \dots, \dots) \cdot D_1(x, h, t) D_2(y \cdot \dots, \dots) \cdot (-0; t, \dots) dt d \cdot \dots \right| \leq C \cdot \dots$$

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot (x \cdot h \cdot t)^{-1} \cdot (x \cdot t)^{-1} \cdot B_2(y \cdot \dots, \dots) \cdot (-0; t, \dots) dt d \cdot \dots$$

We omit the details of evaluation of each term in the above representation; it is standard via Lemma 2.1 and yields

$$\left| \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot h \cdot b \cdot d \cdot \dots \cdot t_2(\dots; 2t, \dots) dt d \cdot \dots \right| \leq C_3 \cdot h \cdot \dots$$

where $\alpha = \max(1, \dots)$ и $\beta = \max(1, \dots)$. From the inequalities (3.11), (3.10), (3.9) and (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), we obtain the corresponding estimates (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5).

4. Mapping properties of the mixed fractional integration operators in the space H^{\sim}_0

In this section, we give a generalization of the theorem to the weighted.

Theorem 4.1. Let $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$, $\varphi(x, y) = (x \cdot a)^\alpha (y \cdot c)^\beta$, $0 < a < \infty, 0 < c < \infty$. If $\varphi(x, y) \in (Q)$ and assume that

- 1) $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot x \cdot y \cdot \dots \cdot dt d \cdot \dots \leq C_1 \cdot \dots$
- 2) $\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \dots \cdot b \cdot d \cdot \dots \cdot dt d \cdot \dots \leq C_1 \cdot \dots$

where $\alpha = \max(\dots, 1, 0)$, $\beta = \max(\dots, 1, 0)$. Then the mixed fractional integral operator $I_{0, \varphi, 0}$ is bounded from the weight space $H^{\sim}_0(\varphi)$ to the space $H^{\sim}_0(\varphi)$ with the same weight and with the characteristic $\varphi_{\dots}(t, \dots) = t \cdot \dots(t, \dots)$.

where $H_{\lambda, \mu}(\cdot)$. We

Proof. Let $f \in I_{0,0}$.

For this, it suffices to show that will

show that $f \in H_{0,0}$

$$\|f\|_{H_{0,0}} = \sup_{h>0} \int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_1 < \infty, \sup_{h>0} \int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_2 < \infty, \sup_{h>0} \int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_2 < \infty, \sup_{h>0} \int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

From membership $f \in I_{0,0}$ in the class $I_{0,0}$ and satisfaction of inequalities (4.1), (4.2) the convergence of the integrals follows

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

Therefore, there are estimates of the Zygmund type from Theorem 3.2. Whence follows

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

$$C_1 \|f\|_{H_{0,0}} = C_2 \|f\|_{H_{0,0}} = C_3 \|f\|_{H_{0,0}}$$

$$\int_0^h \int_0^h |f(x,y)| dx dy = C_3 < \infty.$$

$(x,y) f(x,y) = x^\alpha y^\beta \int_0^x \int_0^y |f(t,s)| dt ds =$

[10] weight and operators of B.S. Arman. SSR Ser. Mat. 9, No 4. (1974), pp. 308 Rubyn. Fractional integrals potential in Hölder spaces with type. Izv. Akad. Nauk -324.

[11] B.S. Rubyn. Fractional integrals and Riesz potentials with $\omega(x,y) = x^\alpha y^\beta (1-x)^{\alpha-1} (1-y)^{\beta-1}$

radial density in spaces with power weight. Izv. Akad.

Since $f(x,y)|_{x=0,y=0} = 0$, then

Nauk Arman. SSR Ser. Mat. 21, No 5 (1986), pp. 488-503

[12] S.G. Samko, A.A. Kilbas and O.I. Marichev. Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications. Gordon and Breach. Sci. Publ., N. York - London, 1993, 1012 pp. (Russian Ed. - Fractional Integrals and Derivatives and Some of Their Applications, Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, 1987).

[13] S.G. Samko, H.M. Murdayev. Weighted Zygmund estimates for fractional differentiation and integration and their applications. Trudy. Matem. Inst. Steklov 180 (1989), 197-198; English transl. in: Proc. Steklov Inst. pp. 233-235.

It follows that

$$\int_0^1 |f(x,y)| dx dy \leq C \int_0^1 |f(t,y)| dt$$

Therefore

$$\|f\|_{C(Q)} \leq C \|f\|_{C(H^0_0)} \tag{4.4}$$

From the inequalities (4.3) and (4.4) follows the assertion of the theorem.

References

[1] Baba-Zade M.A. On class of continuous functions of two variables (Russian), Uch. Zam.MV i SSO AZSSR, ser. fizmat nauk., (1979), No 3, p. 32-41

[2] H.G. Hardy and J.E. Littlewood. Some properties of fractional integrals, I. Mah. Z. 27, No 4 (1928), 565-606.

[3] N.K. Karapetians and L.D. Shankishvili. A short proof of Hardy-Littlewood-type theorem for fractional integrals in weighted Hölder spaces. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 2, No. 2, 1999, p. 177-192.

[4] N.K. Karapetians and L.D. Shankishvili. Fractional integro-differentiation of the complex order in generalized Hölder spaces. Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 13, No. 3, 2003, p. 199-209.

[5] N.K. Karpetyants, Kh. M. Murdaev and A. Ya. Yakubov. The isomorphism realized by fractional integrals in generalized Hölder classes. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 314, No 2 (1990), 288-291.

[6] N.K. Karpetyants, Kh. M. Murdaev and A. Ya. Yakubov. On isomorphism provided by fractional integrals in generalized Nikolskiy classes. Izv. Vuzov. Matematika (9) (1992), 49-58.

[7] T. Mamatov, S. Samko. Mixed Fractional Integration Operators in mixed weighted Hölder spaces. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis (FCAA), Vol. 13, No 3 (2010), pp. 245-259

[8] Kh. M. Murdaev, S.G. Samko. Weighted estimates of continuity modulus of fractional of functions having a prescribed continuity modulus with weight (Russian). Dep. in VINITI, Moscow, 1986: No. 3351-B, 42 p.

[9] Kh. M. Murdaev, S.G. Samko. Mapping properties of fractional integro-differentiation in weighted generalized

[14] B. G. Vakulov. Operators of potential type in generalized Hölder classes. Izv.66-69. universities. Mat. No. 11, 1986,

Hölder spaces $H^{\alpha, \beta}$ with the weight